

APPROVED
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
ALAMEDA REUSE AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

2-A

Wednesday, February 2, 2005

The meeting convened at 7:16 p.m. with Mayor Johnson presiding.

1. ROLL CALL

Present: Beverly Johnson, Chair, City of Alameda
Tony Daysog, Boardmember, City of Alameda
Doug DeHaan, Boardmember, City of Alameda
Frank Matarrese, Boardmember, City of Alameda

Absent: Marie Gilmore, Boardmember, City of Alameda

2. CONSENT CALENDAR

- 2-A. Approval of the minutes of the Special meeting of November 3, 2004.
- 2-B. Approval of the minutes of the Special meeting of November 18, 2004.
- 2-C. Resolution Supporting a Joint Local / State Effort to Speed Up Redevelopment of California's Closed Military Bases.

Chair Johnson motioned for approval of the Consent Calendar items. The motion was seconded by Member DeHaan and passed by the following voice vote: Ayes –3; Noes – 0; Abstentions – 1 by Member Matarrese.

3. PRESENTATION

3-A. Presentation/update on Alameda Point Navy Negotiations and Land Use Planning.

Stephen Proud gave monthly update on Alameda Point land planning and Navy conveyance process. At the January Meeting with the Navy we developed a Master Timeline with the Navy and got an update on the status of some of the selective restoration sites. We discussed the first phase footprint and key IR sites that are contained within the footprint and the ARRA made a presentation at that meeting of our revised infrastructure costs for Alameda Point. We gave the Navy an update of the status of the Trust exchange with the State Lands Commission. We let them know that the legislation as been approved for the Trust exchange and that we had drafted a draft exchange agreement that we had shared with State Lands Commission staff. Our legal counsel had submitted it to their legal counsel and that we were currently awaiting comments.

Elizabeth Johnson, one of our planners at AP made a presentation to the historic advisory board on Jan 7th where we gave them some background information on the historic district and the actions that were underway relative to the historic district.

On Saturday, Jan 22nd we had a tour with the Alameda Architectural Preservation. The group came out and we toured around the base, specifically focusing on historic preservation issues. We had an excellent turn out with well over 15 members attending, along with Page and Turnbull.

Two upcoming community workshops: the first one is March 3rd with the planning board; at that one we will focus largely on land use options, a continuing dialogue of what's happening at our first two community workshops. The next would be on Mar 23rd with the transportation commission where we would focus more on transportation related issues obviously and specifically on some of the discussions that we had at our last workshop for estuary crossings. Our goal is take all of those transit options and try and funnel them down to a couple that we can really study in depth as we move forward thru the process. So this is the continuing dialogue on that issue with the transportation commission, again hosted with the APAC as well.

Member DeHaan asked how we are planning to get feedback from the Historic Preservation Society on the tour and if they be part of the community workshop. Stephen Proud replied that they were invited to participate in those meetings as an opportunity to make sure their comments came through the workshop format and they were also invited to solicit and give us comments independent of those workshops.

Member Matarrese requested minutes of that meeting to give us at least some indication of what they might have said.

Stephen Proud began discussions on the ARRA led predevelopment budget: The ARRA has \$3.5 million that is available to lead the predevelopment planning period. There was a slight increase on Navy conveyance and on the land use planning side. At this point through the process we've spent @ 45% of what we had budgeted and we're about 12 mo. into an 18 mo. process.

Councilmember DeHaan asked if we were anticipating any contract amendments. Stephen Proud replied that at this point, we don't expect there would be any contract amendments. We do have a couple of contracts that have expirations date that were set for Mar 31st but we don't see anything on the horizon that looks like it would result in one of our consultant contracts going up in any extraordinary way in the near future.

Member DeHaan asked what the completion date is on the project. Stephen replied that this budget was programmed to take us thru June 30th of 2005 -- an 18 month ARRA led pre development period, from the beginning of 04 to the middle of 05.

4. REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS

None.

5. ORAL REPORTS

5-A. Oral report from APAC

There were no representatives from APAC. Chair Johnson stated there were no representatives from APAC. Chair Johnson stated there was an APAC sub-committee meeting this evening therefore there were no members available for an oral report.

5-B. Oral report from Member Matarrese, RAB representative.

RAB met on January 6, 2005. Key topics were the Seaplane Lagoon; site 17. The draft feasibility study was presented. A timeline for implementing remediation for that site in 2006 was discussed. This would be the actual remediation of contaminants in the Seaplane Lagoon. An update of action on the Miller School and Woodstock Child Care Center, site 30 and the remediation activities that went on there. New RAB member, Joan Conrad, voted in. Next meeting is February 3, 2005 at 6:30 pm.

6. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS, NON-AGENDA (PUBLIC COMMENT)

None.

7. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE GOVERNING BODY

Member Matarrese thanked staff for all the work done, particularly with getting community workshops together and stated that he's very interested in the Transportation workshop on March 23rd, acknowledging that having a transportation infrastructure in place before a development increases the value of the land because it's there and not an encumbrance. A meeting on February 18, 2005 is scheduled to reactivate our liaison committee with AC Transit. Propose to discuss transportation with a BART liaison committee.

Chair Johnson suggests our liaison committee should schedule a meeting with BART, which may need council approval. States BART is a big issue for Alameda.

Stephen Proud stated that the logistics had not been worked out yet, but mentioned that it would be hosted as a joint workshop with either one of the boards or commissions and the APAC but that the 4th workshop would be a more general public forum. There was nothing formal discussed for a 5th workshop. Member DeHaan would like to see the Economic Development Commission host the 5th meeting. Chair Johnson stated that discussions regarding EDC hosting had already been done. Chair Johnson asked if there was a public outreach planned. Prior ones very well attended. Great benefit that these workshops are broadcasted live.

9. ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Johnson adjourned the open session meeting at 7:51 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Irma Frankel
ARRA Secretary